

The Future of Global Higher Education: 2025–2030

The Decline of Universities (2025–2030)

Enrollment Declines and Financial Struggles: Demographic and economic trends are converging to challenge traditional universities. In many countries, the population of college-age students is shrinking sharply. For example, the number of 18-year-olds in the United States is projected to drop by **15% between 2025 and 2029**, translating to about **576,000 fewer college students** in that period ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)). This “enrollment cliff” comes on top of steady declines since 2012, including a 7% drop in U.S. undergraduate enrollment from 2019–2022 ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)). **Fewer tuition-paying students means tighter budgets.** Dozens of colleges—especially small, tuition-dependent institutions—have already succumbed: at least **30 colleges closed in the first 10 months of 2023** alone ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)), a record pace of closures. Analysts expect this trend to **accelerate through the 2020s**, forcing more mergers and shutdowns. One consulting analysis found that by 2030, **449 U.S. colleges could see enrollment declines of 25% and 182 colleges might lose 50% of their students** ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)). Losing half of one’s students is an existential threat to any campus, and such projections underscore the gravity of the coming decade. Even if not all those colleges close, many will need radical changes to survive ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)). The financial pressure is most acute for universities reliant on tuition (as opposed to those with large endowments or public funding). As one education finance expert noted, “a lot of students end up saddled with debt; large amounts of debt have to be written off... and too many universities remain underfunded” under the current model ([Funding higher education through a free-market ‘graduate tax’ — Institute of Economic Affairs](#)). In short, **higher**

education's business model is under strain, with high costs and student debt loads increasingly untenable for families.

Regions and Institutions at Risk: The impact of the downturn will not be uniform across institutions or regions. **Small private colleges and lesser-known universities** are especially vulnerable, particularly in areas with sharp demographic declines. In the United States, **New England and the Midwest are expected to be hit hardest**, with college-going populations in those regions dropping by more than 15% through 2029 ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)). These areas have many small, tuition-driven colleges already on **shaky financial footing**, some of which were struggling even before COVID-19. Since 2016, at least **16 colleges in New York and Massachusetts have closed** (many after the pandemic delivered a final blow) ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)). By contrast, more selective and well-resourced universities may weather the storm better. Students faced with fewer peers overall are increasingly **“veering toward larger and more selective institutions,”** according to higher ed researchers ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)). This means elite universities and flagship public campuses could maintain enrollment demand, even as regional colleges struggle to fill classes. Indeed, **“Ivy Plus” universities (Ivy League and peers like MIT/Stanford) are projected to thrive**, continuing to attract top students and major funding ([SAVE A SEAT FOR ME \(Simon & Schuster, 2026\) - X](#)). These elite institutions benefit from global reputations and deep financial reserves, insulating them from short-term demographic dips. For example, **Yale University's endowment (currently ~\$40.7 billion) is projected to more than double to \$89 billion by 2029** ([The State Legislature Is Considering an Endowment Tax. Experts Say It Could ‘Cripple’ Harvard | News | The Harvard Crimson](#)), reflecting how the richest schools will remain financially secure. Meanwhile, many mid-tier private colleges that lack such resources may face consolidations or downsizing. Experts have gone so far as to predict a sweeping culling of institutions: Harvard Business School professor **Clayton Christensen famously warned that up to *half* of American colleges could close or go bankrupt by 2030** if they fail to adapt ([Clay Christensen sticks with predictions of massive college closures](#)). While such extreme outcomes are debated, the consensus is that **by 2030, there will be significantly fewer colleges than exist today**, with the weakest institutions and those in declining markets bearing the brunt ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges](#)).

Drivers of Change – Technology and Geopolitics: Beyond demographics and finances, **technological disruption and geopolitical shifts are reshaping higher education** on a global scale. The rapid rise of online learning has fundamentally changed competition: students now have access to a proliferation of digital courses, MOOCs, and alternative credentials, often at lower cost than a traditional degree. This was starkly accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced universities worldwide to pivot to remote instruction almost overnight. The result has been greater acceptance of online delivery and more players (including tech firms and nonprofit platforms) entering the education space. **Online programs can scale education with lower marginal costs, eroding the monopoly of place-based colleges.** Christensen and others argue that online education is a classic disruptive innovation — a “cheaper, if initially inferior” option that can rapidly improve and **undercut traditional universities’ business models** ([Clay Christensen sticks with predictions of massive college closures](#)). Students, especially adult learners and those seeking specific skills, are increasingly drawn to flexible online credentials and career-aligned training. Moreover, **AI-driven learning tools are beginning to emerge as a force.** AI tutors and adaptive learning systems can provide personalized, on-demand academic support at a fraction of the cost of in-person instruction. Early implementations show that **AI tutoring platforms can improve learning outcomes while making education more accessible and affordable**, offering “on-demand assistance, often at a lower cost” than human-intensive methods ([Rise of AI Tutors: Can They Replace Human Teachers? 2025] - [DigitalDefynd](#)). For example, AI teaching assistants (like Georgia Tech’s Jill Watson bot) have successfully answered student questions in large online classes ([Rise of AI Tutors: Can They Replace Human Teachers? 2025] - [DigitalDefynd](#)), hinting at a future where parts of teaching and student support are automated. Over the next decade, universities that harness AI to enhance instruction and reduce costs may have an edge in efficiency, whereas those that do not may struggle to justify high tuition.

Geopolitical factors are also at play. **Global student mobility has been disrupted** in recent years by pandemic travel restrictions and shifting immigration policies. Countries like the U.S., UK, and Australia have traditionally drawn large numbers of international students (whose tuition often subsidizes domestic students), but rising nationalism and stricter visa regimes could dampen these flows. For instance, U.S. restrictions on foreign student visas, especially from China, have prompted some students to consider alternatives ([China's top universities expand enrolment to beef up capabilities in AI, strategic areas | Reuters](#)) ([China's top universities expand enrolment to beef up capabilities in AI, strategic areas | Reuters](#)). At the same time, emerging education

destinations (China, but also Canada, parts of Europe, and others) are **competing to attract international students**, which may redistribute enrollment globally. Universities in regions with political stability and proactive talent policies may “steal” students from those facing turmoil or hostility. Additionally, government funding for higher education is fluctuating: some nations are cutting public investment (expecting universities to do more with less), while others (like several Asian countries) are **heavily funding university expansion** as a strategic priority. These geopolitical and economic currents mean that by 2030 the **map of global higher ed could be notably altered** – with more cross-border online enrollments, fewer but larger “mega-universities,” and a tougher marketplace overall for traditional institutions. In summary, the latter 2020s will likely bring a **shakeout in higher education**: many colleges will need to transform or perish, and those that survive must innovate with technology and adjust to a new demographic reality ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)) ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)). The silver lining is that out of this disruption may come more efficient, accessible models of education, but the transition will be challenging for the venerable university systems we’ve known for centuries.

The Rise of Chinese Universities in the Global Top 50

([File:Former gate of Tsinghua University.JPG - Wikimedia Commons](#)) *The iconic “Old Gate” of Tsinghua University in Beijing. Once a symbol of China’s early 20th-century academy, Tsinghua is now a modern research powerhouse knocking on the door of the global top 10.*

Over the past two decades, **Chinese universities have experienced a meteoric rise in global rankings and research output**, challenging the long-standing dominance of Western institutions. This rise is no accident: it is the result of **massive government investment, strategic academic policies, and an explosion of research productivity** engineered by the Chinese state. Beginning in the 1990s, China launched a series of national initiatives to elevate its higher education system. Programs like *Project 211* and *Project 985* directed funding and resources to select universities to transform them into world-class institutions ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). More recently, the “Double First-Class” initiative has continued this push, aiming to create a cohort of elite universities and disciplines by 2030 and beyond ([China Vows to](#)

[Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030 | Study In China](#)). **The scale of investment is staggering:** by one account, **21 provincial regions in China have published plans to improve universities, with 11 regions collectively raising roughly ¥40 billion RMB for higher ed development** ([China Vows to Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030 | Study In China](#)). Provinces like Shandong and Hubei are pledging billions each year to their universities ([China Vows to Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030 | Study In China](#)). The goal, as reported by Chinese officials, is ambitious – to have **16 “world-class” universities by 2030** (up from 10 in 2020) ([China Vows to Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030 | Study In China](#)). *“Heavy investment can help attract talent and purchase cutting-edge equipment... But it requires far more than money to become a top university,”* cautions Professor Lu at Tongji University ([China Vows to Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030 | Study In China](#)). Indeed, China’s approach has been holistic: not just pouring money, but also **recruiting top faculty (including luring back Chinese scholars from overseas), incentivizing research output, and internationalizing its campuses** ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)) ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). Policies have tied university funding and faculty promotions to research performance, spurring a surge in published papers and patents. As a result, China’s scientific publications now lead the world in volume. In fact, **China has overtaken the United States as the world’s number one contributor of research articles** by some measures ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). This growing scholarly output is accompanied by improved quality and impact, evidenced by rising citation counts and breakthroughs in frontier fields.

Climbing the Global Rankings: The impact of these efforts is clearly visible in global university rankings. Two decades ago, Chinese universities were virtually absent from the top tiers of international rankings. Now, they are not only present but rapidly climbing into elite company. **By 2022, for example, Peking University and Tsinghua University (Beijing’s two flagship schools) had both entered the global top 50** in the QS World University Rankings ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). In the 2012 QS rankings, China had only **3 universities in the top 100 and just 2 in the top 50** (Peking and Tsinghua) ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)).

A decade later, the 2022 QS rankings saw **6 Chinese universities in the top 100, with 3 in the top 50 (Peking, Tsinghua, and Fudan)** ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). The trajectory continues upward. In the latest **Times Higher Education (THE) World Rankings 2025, Tsinghua is ranked #12 and Peking #13 globally**, each just shy of the top ten ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). Other Chinese institutions have broken into the upper echelon: **Fudan University climbed to 36th and Zhejiang University to 47th worldwide in 2025**, marking the first time Zhejiang University joins the top 50 ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). Overall, **mainland China now boasts 4 universities in the global top 50 and 7 in the top 100** (not counting Hong Kong's universities, which add several more) ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). This is a dramatic change from 2018, when mainland China had only 2 in the top 100 ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). Phil Baty, chief global affairs officer for THE, calls the consistent rise of Chinese universities a “**phenomenal achievement**,” noting that it’s backed by “*strong political will and a commitment of generous funding over many years*” ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). He also points out that while Tsinghua and Peking get the spotlight (as they “**knock on the door of a top-10 position**”), a whole “**next tier**” of Chinese universities (ranked ~30–100) **have made significant gains and are *ones to watch***” ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). In fields like engineering, computer science, and the natural sciences, Chinese institutions are now among the global leaders. Notably, the **Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)** in Beijing has topped the *Nature Index* ranking of research output, surpassing Harvard in publication share ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). In 2020, CAS’s index score was almost **twice that of Harvard’s** ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). Other Chinese universities like USTC (University of Science & Technology of China) and Zhejiang are also in the world’s top 10 institutions by research output ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)). These metrics underscore that China is not just gaming rankings; it is genuinely becoming a **science and technology powerhouse**, second only to the U.S. on many research fronts ([The Rise](#)

of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025). Thought leaders and futurists widely predict that this momentum will continue through 2030. Some analysts believe that **China could even dominate certain global rankings by the end of the decade** if current trends persist. For instance, international education experts have speculated that **Chinese universities may soon routinely outrank many Ivy League and Oxbridge institutions**, given their rapid improvements and the sheer scale of talent they are now cultivating ([These universities have the best reputation worldwide | World Economic Forum](#)) ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). A Kuala Lumpur-based analyst, Chin Yew Sin, argues that “*China’s education system will make it a world leader in the foreseeable future,*” citing the country’s political stability and emphasis on science and technology ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). He notes that **China has begun to surpass the West in cutting-edge areas like artificial intelligence**, as exemplified by the recent “DeepSeek” AI breakthrough achieved entirely by Chinese university researchers ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)) ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). Such achievements, he contends, “*clearly show that China’s universities are on par with, if not better than, those top universities in the US*” in certain fields ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). By 2030, it’s anticipated that **multiple Chinese universities will regularly appear in the global top 20 and even contend for top-10 status**, a scenario that was almost unthinkable two decades ago.

Comparison with Western Institutions: The rise of Chinese universities has not displaced Western academia yet, but it has certainly **eroded the West’s once-unquestioned lead**. The United States and Western Europe still host many of the world’s most prestigious universities – the likes of Harvard, MIT, Oxford, Cambridge, Stanford, etc., continue to rank at or near the very top. In THE’s 2025 rankings, for instance, **the top 10 remains dominated by the U.S. and U.K.** ([These universities have the best reputation worldwide | World Economic Forum](#)). However, the *balance within the top 100* is shifting. Western institutions face slower growth and new challenges. **Leading U.S. universities (e.g., the Ivy League, Stanford, MIT)** benefit from enormous endowments and a long legacy of excellence, which should keep them globally competitive. In fact, their financial might is increasing: elite American universities have seen nearly **10% average annual endowment growth in recent years** ([The State Legislature Is](#)

[Considering an Endowment Tax. Experts Say It Could ‘Cripple’ Harvard | News | The Harvard Crimson](#)). As mentioned, Ivy League endowments are projected to reach unprecedented levels by 2030 (Harvard near \$90 billion in the absence of new taxes) ([The State Legislature Is Considering an Endowment Tax. Experts Say It Could ‘Cripple’ Harvard | News | The Harvard Crimson](#)). This wealth fuels cutting-edge research and allows generous student financial aid, helping those schools remain attractive to top scholars and students worldwide. For example, Harvard, Yale, and others routinely invest heavily in new research centers (such as MIT’s College of Computing or Stanford’s AI initiatives), ensuring they stay at the forefront of innovation. **Top European universities** like Oxford, Cambridge, ETH Zurich, and the leading institutions in France and Germany also continue to excel. They maintain strong global reputations and research output, though many European systems face funding constraints due to reliance on government budgets. Oxford and Cambridge benefit from unique collegiate endowments and international student demand (post-Brexit challenges notwithstanding), and they typically remain in the top 5–10 globally.

However, the **dominance of Western universities is no longer a given in every metric**. China’s rise introduces serious competition, especially in STEM fields. By 2030, we may see a scenario where, for instance, **half of the top 10 in computer science or engineering are Asian (primarily Chinese) universities**, as their specialized strengths grow. Western universities will likely retain an edge in areas like humanities, social sciences, and certain professional fields (e.g., MBA programs) for longer, as China’s push has been most intense in science and technology. But even in management and social sciences, Chinese schools (like Tsinghua’s and Peking’s business schools) are gaining stature. **Geopolitics also plays a role** in this East-West dynamic. American and European universities have traditionally drawn talent from around the world – including many of the brightest students from China and India – bolstering their excellence. Now, with China vastly improving its own universities, more of that talent may stay home or even reverse-flow (with Western students and professors moving to Chinese institutions for opportunities). A recent analysis predicts China could become **the leading destination for international students within the next 20 years**, potentially surpassing the U.S. ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)). This is propelled by the perception that China offers political stability, booming R&D, and generous scholarships. To compete, Western universities are forging partnerships and satellite campuses abroad, and emphasizing unique strengths (such as academic freedom, which remains a question mark in China). The **future of the**

Ivy League and other top Western schools thus involves adaptation: they may double down on research prowess (where they still lead in Nobel Prizes and citation influence), form global alliances, and leverage their strong alumni networks to remain influential. It's worth noting that **Western universities still occupy more top-50 spots overall** – for instance, U.S. universities alone account for roughly 20 of the top 50 in most global rankings as of mid-2020s – but the gap is narrowing. The trend lines suggest that by 2030, **China (and to an extent other Asian tigers like Singapore) will command a significantly larger share of the top 100** than ever before, while the U.S. share slightly declines. Europe's representation in the top ranks may hold steady or even dip, as investment there has not kept pace with Asia's surge. In summary, **Chinese universities are ascendant, fueled by strategic investments and national priority**, and this will redefine what the “global academic elite” looks like in 2030. Western institutions will remain eminent, but they no longer have the field to themselves – they will be joined (and pressed to innovate) by a growing cohort of Chinese peers at the pinnacle of higher education ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)).

The Tuition-Free Model and Tithing-Based Funding Approaches

Alternative Tuition Models Emerging: As traditional higher education grapples with financial and value challenges, new **tuition funding models** are gaining traction. One of the most talked-about innovations is the concept of “**tuition-free**” college with an **income-based repayment, often framed as a type of “tithing” or income share**. In practice, this often takes the form of **Income Share Agreements (ISAs)** or similar arrangements. Under an ISA or graduate “tithe” model, students pay no (or reduced) tuition up front. Instead, after graduation, they **pledge a fixed percentage of their income for a set period of time** to fund their education. This model essentially makes the university an equity partner in the student's future earnings. A prominent example is Purdue University's “**Back-a-Boiler**” program (launched mid-2010s), which allowed students to borrow against future earnings rather than taking traditional loans. Another well-known case is **Lambda School** (a coding academy, now renamed Bloom Institute of Technology), which charges *nothing* up front and then collects **17% of the graduate's salary for two years once they land a high-paying job** ([Technology is changing the face of education. Here's how | World Economic Forum](#)). If the graduate doesn't get a

qualifying job, they pay nothing – aligning payment with successful outcomes. This approach can be seen as a modern twist on the idea of a “**graduate tax**”, a concept debated in the UK and elsewhere, where those who benefit from education pay extra taxes later as a return on that investment ([A graduate tax is still the fairest way for graduates to contribute to the ...](#)). Advocates argue that such models **align incentives** between students and institutions: colleges only prosper if their graduates prosper. In a free-market “human capital equity” system, “*universities would effectively become equity holders in human capital... entitled to a share of each former student’s earnings*”, as one policy think-tank described ([Funding higher education through a free-market ‘graduate tax’ – Institute of Economic Affairs](#)). This would encourage universities to **invest in students’ employability and lifelong success**, rather than focusing on simply enrolling tuition-payers ([Funding higher education through a free-market ‘graduate tax’ – Institute of Economic Affairs](#)). We are already seeing variations of this model: “**Pay-it-forward**” **plans**, where alumni payments fund the next generation’s education, and **income-contingent loans** (like Australia’s HECS or the UK’s student loan system) which function similarly to a graduate tax with payments based on earnings. Some **tuition-free universities** (mostly online or in Europe) effectively rely on public or philanthropic funding, but the idea of linking an individual’s payments to their income post-graduation is a newer twist aimed at financial sustainability.

Beyond ISAs, other **sustainable funding mechanisms** are being piloted. For instance, some institutions are experimenting with **subscription-based education** (pay a monthly or yearly fee for access to courses, akin to Netflix for learning) or **outcome-based pricing** (where a portion of tuition is refunded if certain outcomes aren’t met). The common thread is to reduce the risk to students and tie the cost of education to its actual value. **Income Share Agreements have grown in visibility** especially in high-demand fields like tech, where bootcamps use them to attract students who might balk at upfront costs. By 2020, at least **a handful of universities and dozens of coding bootcamps** had ISA programs ([9 'ISA Schools' That Offer Income-Share Agreements - Lending Tree](#)) ([5 Best Income Share Agreement Schools in 2025 - Teachfloor Blog](#)). However, the ISA market is still evolving and not without controversy (more on that shortly). In parallel, the COVID-19 pandemic and the rise of online learning have led some state universities and private colleges to consider **tuition freezes, steep discounts, or accelerated programs** (3-year degrees, etc.) to offer better value. The idea of **making college tuition-free upfront has also gained political momentum** in some countries, with the understanding that funding must come from elsewhere (taxes, or later earnings). One notable idea is the

“free college, pay it forward” proposal where students attend **tuition-free and then pay a small percentage of their income for a set number of years into a fund for future students**. This was considered in Oregon and other U.S. states in the mid-2010s, essentially a state-run ISA model. While not yet widely implemented, it reflects a growing recognition that the traditional lump-sum tuition payment model may not be the only approach for the future.

Impact of AI and COVID-19 on New Economic Models: The twin disruptions of the late 2010s and early 2020s – the AI revolution and the COVID-19 pandemic – have **accelerated shifts toward these new funding models** in several ways. First, **COVID-19 dramatically exposed the disconnect between tuition costs and educational value**. In 2020, students who paid \$50,000 for an in-person college experience suddenly found themselves in Zoom classes, prompting many to question the high cost of traditional college ([The Pandemic Pushed Universities Online. The Change Was Long ...](#)). This led to increased pressure on institutions to justify tuition or offer flexibility. Many colleges temporarily froze tuition or added online options, signalling that **price innovation is possible**. It also normalized alternative credentials: with millions learning online during lockdowns, **shorter, skills-focused programs** (often offered by companies or new providers) gained credibility ([Technology is changing the face of education. Here's how | World Economic Forum](#)) ([Technology is changing the face of education. Here's how | World Economic Forum](#) model charges students)). These programs frequently use different payment models (like ISAs or employer sponsorship). As a result, students and parents have become more open to non-traditional pathways that might include creative financing. The pandemic’s economic shock also heightened interest in models that **reduce upfront costs for students**, since families’ finances were strained. Income-based repayment plans can be attractive in uncertain economic times, because if a graduate’s income is low (e.g., due to a recession), their payments automatically adjust downward or pause – a form of insurance. In short, COVID-19 acted as a catalyst for conversations about making college more affordable and risk-sharing the investment in education.

Meanwhile, the **rise of AI** is influencing cost structures and the skills landscape, which in turn affects funding models. On the cost side, AI offers the potential to **streamline university operations and instructional delivery**, potentially lowering the marginal cost of educating each student. For example, AI can automate administrative tasks, assist with grading and advising, and even help teach via intelligent tutors ([Are AI Tutors the Answer to Linger Learning Loss?](#)) ([Rise of AI Tutors: Can They Replace Human](#)

Teachers? 2025] - DigitalDefynd). If widely adopted, this could reduce the need for some personnel or allow one instructor to effectively teach more students with AI support, ideally **reducing the pressure to charge sky-high tuition**. Some optimists suggest AI could finally “bend the cost curve” of higher education by boosting productivity ([Is AI finally a way to reduce higher ed costs? \(opinion\)](#)). On the learner side, AI is changing what skills are in demand and how quickly the labor market evolves. This means individuals may need to **reskill and upskill more frequently throughout their careers**, rather than investing all in one big upfront education. The concept of **lifelong learning subscriptions or recurring education payments** might gain ground – akin to paying a “tithe” not just to one alma mater but for continuous access to learning. Traditional universities are exploring offering **alumni upskilling programs** (sometimes included as part of tuition or via alumni contributions) to stay relevant in an AI-driven job market. Additionally, AI’s ability to provide personalized learning at scale lowers the barrier for new educational entrants (like tech firms) to offer credible learning experiences at low cost, intensifying competition. This pushes universities to be more innovative not just in teaching but in **how they charge for education**. If a tech platform can certify you for a job with a free course and a small fee for an exam, universities may need to respond with more competitive pricing or risk-sharing. **In essence, AI and the pandemic have both heightened consumers’ expectation that education should be accessible, affordable, and responsive to outcomes**, thereby accelerating the adoption of new economic models that break from the pure upfront tuition approach ([Technology is changing the face of education. Here's how | World Economic Forum](#)) ([Technology is changing the face of education. Here's how | World Economic Forum](#)).

Societal and Legal Implications of a “Wealth-Sharing” Model: Transitioning to a lifelong wealth-sharing or tithing-based funding model carries profound implications. Societally, it could **alter the relationship between students and universities**, extending it from a 4-year transaction to a decades-long partnership. On the positive side, this could foster stronger alumni engagement and a culture of giving back. If a university’s financial fortunes are tied to alumni success, we might see institutions providing more robust career services, alumni networking, and continuous education to ensure graduates thrive (since the school’s “return on investment” depends on alumni earnings). It also makes higher education **more accessible upfront**, potentially expanding opportunity for lower-income students who are wary of debt. In theory, no qualified student would be turned away for inability to pay; the model is “tuition-free” at enrollment and asks for contribution only when financially able later. This has an

inherent **progressive element** – those who earn a lot contribute a lot, those who earn little contribute little or nothing. As one economist put it, “*a graduate tax would mean those who have benefited most pay more*”, capturing the upside of a lucrative career to reinvest in education ([A graduate tax is still the fairest way for graduates to contribute to the ...](#)).

However, there are also **significant concerns and legal challenges**. **Consumer protection** is a major issue: ISAs and similar agreements need regulation to prevent exploitation. Because they weren't clearly classified as loans initially, some providers wrote **onerous terms** (e.g. very high income percentages or long payment periods). Regulators are catching up – in the U.S., the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has stated that ISAs must comply with truth-in-lending laws, and several states have moved to explicitly regulate them as loans or credit products. Watchdogs warn that “**these products pose serious risks to students and could violate a number of laws**” if not carefully designed ([Income Share Agreements - Student Borrower Protection Center](#)). For instance, an ISA could potentially run afoul of usury laws if the effective payback is too high, or anti-discrimination laws if different students are offered different terms (e.g., a higher income share for a humanities major than an engineering major, which could be seen as proxy for discrimination). Additionally, there's a **societal concern of equity and mobility**: would a lifelong payment obligation discourage graduates from certain careers or encourage brain drain? For example, if someone has to pay 10% of their salary to their alma mater, they might avoid taking a lower-paying public service job, or they might move abroad if the contract is not enforceable internationally. There's also an ethical question: does a lifelong ISA become a form of indentured servitude? Most proposals stop payments after a fixed term (say 10 or 20 years) or once a certain cap is reached, to avoid an open-ended claim on one's earnings. Ensuring **fair terms (caps, duration, income thresholds)** will be crucial. Programs typically include protections like a minimum income floor (no payment if you earn below, say, \$30k) and a payment cap (you won't pay more than, say, 2x the tuition you would have owed). These need to be transparent and regulated. In fact, **some colleges that ventured into ISAs have pulled back** after regulatory scrutiny. Purdue's Back-a-Boiler, once a flagship ISA program, was suspended in 2022 amid concerns that some students might end up paying more than they would under a federal loan. **Legal clarity** is still evolving: in some jurisdictions, new legislation may be needed to define how lifelong wealth-sharing contracts are treated in bankruptcy, what happens if a university closes, and how to handle enforcement if a graduate refuses to pay.

Another implication is for university financing: a wholesale shift to this model means institutions might face budget shortfalls in the near term (since they aren't getting tuition dollars upfront). They would essentially be **investing in students and getting returns over decades**. This could work well for wealthy universities that can afford to delay gratification (perhaps financed by endowments in the interim), but it would be challenging for colleges with tight cash flows unless backed by government funding or capital markets (e.g., securitizing the future income streams). It introduces some financial risk if a cohort graduates into a recession or if many graduates don't earn enough to pay. Society might have to accept government playing a role as a backstop or facilitator (as governments do now with student loan guarantees or income-based loan repayment programs). Some countries might implement a **universal graduate tax** at the national level, which could be more efficient than each university running its own program – but that raises political debates about taxation and subsidy. There are also cultural considerations: will students feel a sense of loyalty and obligation, or will they resent payments later on? If alumni view it as a noble contribution to future students (akin to donating a portion of income), it could strengthen a virtuous cycle. If instead it's seen as just another bill, it could impact alumni goodwill.

In summary, **the tithing-based funding model for higher education holds promise for aligning costs with outcomes and expanding access**, but it must be implemented with careful guardrails. Policymakers and educators are actively discussing how to structure these agreements so they are fair and legally sound. *Higher education futurists* suggest that by 2030 we may see a hybrid system: traditional tuition in some places, government-funded free college in others, and **“pay as you earn” models becoming mainstream** for a subset of programs, especially professional and online programs. The long-term vision is a kind of **“Education-as-a-Service”**, where individuals engage with universities throughout their lives and **continuously share a small slice of their wealth in return for that lifelong learning support**. Such a scenario flips the one-time transaction of tuition into an ongoing relationship – a change that could fundamentally improve institutional resilience (universities with a large base of successful alumni contributors would have steady income) but also demands high accountability (graduates won't pay happily if they feel they received poor value). As one free-market economist noted, this model *“would fundamentally change incentives... Universities would become much more interested in ensuring their alumni possess valuable skills and good jobs”* ([Funding higher education through a free-market ‘graduate tax’ – Institute of Economic Affairs](#)). In an era of rapid change driven by AI and globalization, that alignment might be exactly

what higher education needs to stay relevant and sustainable in the long run.

Tables and Data Summaries

To crystallize the above insights, below are two tables summarizing key data and projections:

Table 1. Trends in University Enrollment and Closures (U.S. Focus, 2020s)

METRIC	STATISTIC/PROJECTION	SOURCE
Undergraduate enrollment change 2019–22	–7% (decline during COVID-19 pandemic)	Nat’l Student Clearinghouse ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges
Projected drop in 18-year-olds (2025–29)	–15% (about 576,000 fewer college-age youths)	Carleton College analysis ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges
Colleges closed in 2023 (Jan–Oct, U.S.)	30 institutions (record high; mostly small privates)	SHEEO data via Hechinger (Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report)
Predicted college enrollment decline by 2030	449 colleges to drop 25%+; 182 colleges to drop 50%	EAB projections (Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report)
Expected U.S. college closures by 2029	~80 colleges and universities (next 5 years)	Fed. Reserve Bank analysis (SAVE A SEAT FOR ME (Simon & Schuster, 2026) - X)
Regional impact (Northeast/Midwest US)	>15% decline in college-going population (by 2029)	Grawe (Carleton) ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges
Colleges closed in NY & MA (2016–2023)	16 institutions (small privates)	Higher Ed Dive data ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges
Christensen’s prediction (made ~2011)	50% of colleges may close by ~2030	Disruption forecast (Clay Christensen sticks with predictions of massive college closures)

Key insight: Demographic headwinds and pandemic aftershocks are driving down enrollments, putting hundreds of tuition-dependent colleges at risk. Analysts expect a significant wave of closures/mergers by 2030, especially among smaller private institutions in regions with shrinking youth populations. (In contrast, elite and well-funded universities are likely to endure, though they too face pressure to adapt.)

Table 2. The Rise of Chinese Universities vs. Western Peers

INDICATOR (YEAR)	CHINA (MAINLAND)	UNITED STATES / WEST	SOURCE / NOTES
Universities in Global Top 50 (2012)	2 (Peking, Tsinghua)	~30+ (U.S. ~18; U.K./EU ~12)	QS 2012 (The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025) (approx. Western count)
Universities in Global Top 50 (2022)	3 (Peking, Tsinghua, Fudan)	~28 (U.S. 17; U.K./EU 11)	QS 2022 (The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025)
Universities in Global Top 50 (2025)	4 (Tsinghua #12, Peking #13, Fudan #36, Zhejiang #47)	~26 (U.S. 15; U.K./EU 11)	THE 2025 (Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn) (Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn)
Universities in Top 100 (Mainland China, 2018 vs 2025)	2018: 2; 2025: 7	U.S. still ~40 in Top 100 (declining slightly)	THE data (Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn)
Annual R&D spending growth (China vs US, 2000–2020)	>10% annual in China (massive increase)	~5–6% in US (slower growth)	UNESCO reports (not cited above)
Research output	#1 in world (China ~23% of share)	#2 (USA ~21% share)	Nature Index / Scopus (China overtook US) (Foreign students may choose China)

(papers published, 2020)	global share)		over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn)
Notable ranking milestone	CAS Beijing ranked #1 research institution globally (2020 Nature Index)	Harvard #2 (first time behind a Chinese institution)	Nature Index (The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025)
Government target for elite universities	16 world-class universities by 2030	(No direct US govt target; individual initiatives like Pell grants)	Chinese State Council/Caixin ([China Vows to Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030
Example of funding commitment	Provincial plans: ¥40 billion+ raised for univ. upgrades (Shandong ¥5B, etc.)	U.S.: Federal R&D funding flat or modestly rising; state funding variable	Tongji Univ. survey ([China Vows to Establish 16 World-class Universities by 2030
Elite unis financial resources	e.g. Tsinghua ~\$4B endowment (est.); heavy state support	Harvard \$51B endowment; Yale \$41B (projected \$89B by 2029)	Harvard Crimson ([The State Legislature Is Considering an Endowment Tax. Experts Say It Could ‘Cripple’ Harvard

Key insight: China has rapidly expanded and improved its universities, now claiming multiple top-50 positions and outpacing the U.S. in research output volume. By 2030, Chinese institutions are expected to feature even more prominently among the world’s elite, though U.S. and Western universities will continue to excel, buoyed by their immense resources and legacy prestige. The gap is closing, with Chinese government support driving continuous improvement, whereas Western universities face more plateaued funding and aging demographics of students.

Conclusion: Across the globe, higher education is at an inflection point. The **decline of some traditional universities** – driven by demographics, financial strains, and technological disruption – will challenge many communities and force a reimagining of how colleges operate by 2030. Concurrently, the **rise of new powers like China in the academic world** is shifting the balance of global educational influence, fostering a more multipolar realm of top universities. And underpinning both trends is an urgent search for **sustainable, equitable funding models**, from income-based repayment to public investment, to ensure higher education remains accessible in the future. The coming decade will likely see more experimentation in how universities are funded and run than ever before. Those institutions that can adapt – by embracing technology, focusing on student outcomes, and innovating financially – will not only survive but thrive. Those that resist change may find themselves on the wrong side of history as the 2030s dawn. The transformation will not be easy, but it carries the promise of a more resilient, inclusive global higher education system in the long run. As we navigate these changes, continued research and dialogue – informed by data, expert analysis, and lessons from around the world – will be critical in shaping a future where universities remain a cornerstone of societal progress.

Sources:

1. Burns, R. (2024). *College Closures in 2023 and Enrollment Projections*. **The Hechinger Report** – *Data shows record closures and predicted declines in student numbers* ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)) ([Experts predicted dozens of colleges would close in 2023 – and they were right - The Hechinger Report](#)).
2. Drozdowski, M. (2023). *The Looming Enrollment Cliff*. **BestColleges News** – *Analysis of demographic decline (15% drop by 2025–2029) and regional impacts on U.S. colleges* ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)) ([Looming Enrollment Cliff Poses Serious Threat to Colleges | BestColleges](#)).
3. Lederman, D. (2017). *Clay Christensen, Doubling Down*. **Inside Higher Ed** – *Clayton Christensen’s prediction that up to 50% of colleges could close by 2030 due to online disruption* ([Clay Christensen sticks with predictions of massive college closures](#)).

4. Wang, M. (2024). *Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings*. **China Daily Global** – *Times Higher Ed 2025 rankings: Tsinghua #12, Peking #13; 4 mainland universities in top 50 (vs. 2 in 2018); commentary on strong funding and progress* ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)) ([Chinese mainland universities continue climb up world rankings - World - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)).
5. Venni V. Krishna et al. (2025). *The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities*. **Science, Technology and Society Journal** – *Review of China’s higher ed growth, ranking improvements from 2012 to 2022 (e.g. 6 in top 100 QS by 2022) and state policies (211, 985, Double First-Class)* ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)) ([The Rise of Chinese Universities: Research, Innovation and Building World-class Universities - Venni V Krishna, Xinpei Zhang, Yuheng Jiang, 2025](#)).
6. Xu, W. (2025). *Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says*. **China Daily** – *Prediction that China will become the top destination for international students by 2045, citing advanced tech (AI) and world-leading research output (China now #1 in research publications)* ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)) ([Foreign students may choose China over US within next 20 years, analyst says - Chinadaily.com.cn](#)).
7. Ainsworth, P. (2015). *Funding higher education through a free-market ‘graduate tax’*. **Institute of Economic Affairs (IEA)** – *Proposal that universities take an equity stake in students’ future earnings, aligning incentives (wealth-sharing model concept)* ([Funding higher education through a free-market ‘graduate tax’ – Institute of Economic Affairs](#)).
8. Student Borrower Protection Center (2021). *Income Share Agreements*. – *Overview of ISAs, warning of legal risks and potential for violating consumer laws if unregulated* ([Income Share Agreements - Student Borrower Protection Center](#)).
9. Amitabh, U. (2020). *How technology will transform learning in the COVID-19 era*. **World Economic Forum** – *Discussion of new education models like Lambda School’s ISA (17% of income for 2 years) and the unbundling of traditional degrees* ([Technology is changing the face of education. Here's how | World Economic Forum](#)).

10. Mark J. Perry (2024). *Is AI finally a way to reduce higher ed costs?* **Inside Higher Ed (Opinion)** – *Examples of AI tutors and assistants that can lower costs and improve efficiency in universities (on-demand, lower-cost learning)* ([Rise of AI Tutors: Can They Replace Human Teachers? 2025] - [DigitalDefynd](#)).